

INFORMATION ON THE IDENTITY OF EXECUTION VENUES AND ON THE QUALITY OF EXECUTION (RTS 28)

Union Bancaire Privée, UBP SA, London Branch, Asset Management division

2020

Top execution venues

(a) Equities - Shares & Depositary Receipts

More than 1 average trade per business day in the previous year

Traded via brokers

(i) Tick size liquidity bands 5 and 6 (from 2000 trades per day)

Average volume	More than 1 average trade per business day in the previous year		
Top execution brokers	Proportion of total volume traded	Proportion of total executed orders	LEI
UBS EUROPE	37%	59.21% 52	299007QVIQ7IO64NX37
Morgan Stanley and Co International	13%	3.65% 41	QUHN3JPFGFNF3BB653
Kepler Equities SA, Paris	11%	6.92% 90	595005EOZG9X8IRJD84
GOLDMAN SACHS INTERNATIONAL	9%	5.54% W	/22LROWP2IHZNBB6K528
ITG Europe Ltd, London	8%	7.71% 2:	13800EEC95PRUCEUP63

(ii) Tick size liquidity bands 3 and 4 (from 80 to 1999 trades per day)

Average volume More than 1 average trade per business day in the previous y			e previous year
Top execution brokers	Proportion of total volume traded	Proportion of total executed orders	LEI
UBS EUROPE	24%	37.35% 5	299007QVIQ7IO64NX37
ITG Europe Ltd, London	16%	15.02% 2	13800EEC95PRUCEUP63
GOLDMAN SACHS INTERNATIONAL	11%	10.28% W	/22LROWP2IHZNBB6K528
Morgan Stanley and Co International	11%	2.44% 4	PQUHN3JPFGFNF3BB653
Kepler Equities SA, Paris	9%	11.10% 9	595005EOZG9X8IRJD84

(iii) Tick size liquidity band 1 and 2 (from 0 to 79 trades per day)

Average volume	More than 1 average trade per business day in the previous year		
Top execution brokers	Proportion of total volume traded	Proportion of total executed orders	LEI
Morgan Stanley and Co International	32%	18.98% 4P	QUHN3JPFGFNF3BB653
UBS EUROPE	28%	25.81% 52	99007QVIQ7IO64NX37
BNP Paribas Securities Asia Ltd	7%	3.65% 21	3800DJAI4ZR21F9J77
Renaissance Capital	5%	10.48% 21	3800RZ3GCUXMBGYN59
Kepler Equities SA, Paris	4%	4.29% 96	95005EOZG9X8IRJD84

(b) Debt instruments

(i) Bonds **Average volume** Traded via brokers

More than 1 average trade per business day in the previous year

Top execution brokers	Proportion of total volume traded	Proportion of total executed orders	LEI
Jefferies International Limited	17.69%	17.88% S	5THZMDUJCTQZBTRVI98
Citigroup Global Markets Ltd	15.98%	13.74% X	KZZ2JZF41MRHTR1V493
BARCLAYS BANK PLC	8.78%	8.44% G	SSGSEF7VJP5I7OUK5573
JP MORGAN SECURITIES PLC	8.19%	6.62% K	6Q0W1PS1L1O4IQL9C32
ICBC ASIA LTD	8.06%	4.97% F	01VVKN4DRF2NWKGQ283

Traded on venue directly No trade

Traded on MTFs

No trade

(ii) Money markets instruments - Traded via brokers

No trade

(c) Interest rates derivatives

(i) Futures and options admitted to trading on a trading venue (ii) Swaps, forwards, and other interest rates derivatives No trade No trade

(d) Credit derivatives

No trade

(e) Currency derivatives

(i) Futures and options admitted to trading on a trading venue

No trade

Lose than 1 average trade per business day in the previous year

(ii) Swaps, forwards, and other currency derivatives

Average volume		i tile previous yeur	
Top execution brokers	Proportion of total volume traded	Proportion of total executed orders	LEI
Pictet & Cie, Geneva	62%	43%	4LCYDN74UCFU5VPM4774
JP Morgan Securities PLC	31%	45%	K6Q0W1PS1L1O4IQL9C32
ввн	4%	11%	5493006KMX1VFTPYPW14
Union Bancaire Privee (Europe) S.A	3%	2%	5493003RJ3TPV33W0108

(f) Structured finance instruments

No trade

	Top execution brokers	Proportion of total volume traded	Proportion of total executed orders	LEI
	Morgan Stanley & Co. International PLC	100%	100%	4LCYDN74UCFU5VPM4774
h) Securitized	d derivatives		No trade	
(ii) Other securitized derivatives			No trade	
i) Commoditio	es derivatives and emission allowances Derivatives			
(i) Options and Futures admitted to trading on a trading venue - Traded via brokers			No trade	
(ii) Other com	modities derivatives and emission allowances derivatives		No trade	
) Contracts for	or difference			
,,			No trade	
k) Exchange	traded products (Exchange traded funds, exchange tra	ded notes and exc	change traded comm	odities)
Traded via bro	okers		No trade	
Traded on ver	nue directly		No trade	
I) Emission a	llowances			
,			No trade	
m) Other inst	ruments			
,				

Summary of the analysis and conclusions drawn from detailed monitoring of the quality of execution obtained on the execution venues where client orders were executed in the previous year

(a) Equities - Shares & Depositary Receipts

(a) Relative importance the firm gave to the execution factors of price, costs, speed, likelihood of execution or any other consideration including qualitative factors when assessing the

No trade

In order to assess the quality of execution for European equities, the Compliance functions focus on the price by comparing the price of execution against participation weighted average price on the market.

For emerging market equities, the VWAP is used to assess best execution due to the inherent volatility on that market.

(b) Close links, conflicts of interests, and common ownerships with respect to any execution venues used to execute orders

UBP SA has a participation of 1.22% in SIX group AG, the Swiss stock exchange. UBP SA has no conflicts of interests or common ownerships with respect to any execution venues used to execute

(c) Specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received

There are no specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received for the trading of equity instruments

(d) Factors that led to a change in the list of execution venues listed in the firm's execution policy, if such a change occurred

UBP has a detailed internal process for validating and modifying its authorised third party broker list. The UBP Bank and Broker Committee is responsible at Group level for validating and maintaining the authorised broker list. UBP will obtain assurance by means of execution arrangements from the authorised third party brokers that they have procedures in place equal to the ones adopted and implemented by UBP. UBP also ensures the ongoing monitoring and oversight of these third party brokers. UBP considers the following as the most important factors when selecting a third party broker:

Size, creditworthiness and reputation of the broker (company rating);

- 2. A well established reputation in relation to a particular market or industry sector:
- 3. Clearance and settlement capabilities (such as the ability to settle DVP)
- 4 Access to markets and distribution networks: and
- 5. Availability and effectiveness of algorithmic trading strategies (Note: this is only relevant when considering an electronic trading relationship)
- 6. This list shall be completed with qualitative factors such as clearing schemes, circuit breakers, scheduled actions, or any other relevant consideration, and the relative importance of each factor.

 (e) How order execution differs according to client categorisation, where the firm treats categories of clients differently and where it may affect the order execution arrangements UBP SA London Branch focuses on the price of execution for all of its clients, including retail clients
- (f) Other criteria that were given precedence over immediate price and cost when executing retail client orders and how these other criteria were instrumental in delivering the best possible result in terms of the total consideration to the client

In specific situations other criteria can take precedence over price, such as the characteristics of the order (e.g., limit orders), volume, or the probability of settlement.

(g) An explanation of how the investment firm has used any data or tools relating to the quality of execution, including any data published under Commission Delegated Regulation EU 2017/575 (RTS27)

For the year 2020, the Bank used Transaction Cost Analysis reports of brokers to corroborate the qualitative assessment of best selection by its internal clients. In addition the Bank has computed the average difference between the price of execution and the Bloomberg benchmark, weighted by the trade value, as a quantitative indicator of best selection.

(h) Where applicable, an explanation of how the investment firm has used output of a consolidated tape provider established under Article 65 of Directive 2014/65/EU For the year 2020, the Bank used no data from consolidated tape providers established under Article 65 of Directive 2014/65/EU

(a) Relative importance the firm gave to the execution factors of price, costs, speed, likelihood of execution or any other consideration including qualitative factors when assessing the

For fixed income trading, the explicit cost of execution is included in the price executed via a spread that is not public. Trading costs and fees are determined by the liquidity of the security influencing

In order to assess the quality of execution, Compliance checks whether three different prices were considered before deciding which broker would execute the transaction. If less than 3 prices were available, Compliance checks if the price of execution is in line with CBBT considering the size of the order versus the volume available on the market.

(b) Close links, conflicts of interests, and common ownerships with respect to any execution venues used to execute orders

UBP SA has no conflicts of interests or common ownerships with respect to any execution venues used to execute fixed income orders.

(c) Specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received

There are no specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received for the trading of debt instruments (d) Factors that led to a change in the list of execution venues listed in the firm's execution policy, if such a change occurred

UBP has a detailed internal process for validating and modifying its authorised third party broker list. The UBP Bank and Broker Committee is responsible at Group level for validating and maintaining the authorised broker list. UBP will obtain assurance by means of execution arrangements from the authorised third party brokers that they have procedures in place equal to the ones adopted and implemented by UBP. UBP also ensures the ongoing monitoring and oversight of these third party brokers.

UBP considers the following as the most important factors when selecting a third party broker:

- Size, creditworthiness and reputation of the broker (company rating);
- 2. A well established reputation in relation to a particular market or industry sector; 3. Clearance and settlement capabilities (such as the ability to settle DVP);
- 4. Access to markets and distribution networks; and
- 5. Availability and effectiveness of algorithmic trading strategies (Note: this is only relevant when considering an electronic trading relationship)
- 6. This list shall be completed with qualitative factors such as clearing schemes, circuit breakers, scheduled actions, or any other relevant consideration, and the relative importance of each factor. (e) How order execution differs according to client categorisation, where the firm treats categories of clients differently and where it may affect the order execution arrangements

UBP SA London Branch focuses on the price of execution for all of its clients, including retail clients.

(f) Other criteria that were given precedence over immediate price and cost when executing retail client orders and how these other criteria were instrumental in delivering the best possible result in terms of the total consideration to the client In specific situations other criteria can take precedence over price, such as volume or the probability of settlement (in case of illiquid instruments).

(g) An explanation of how the investment firm has used any data or tools relating to the quality of execution, including any data published under Commission Delegated Regulation EU 2017/575

For the year 2020, the Bank relied on the qualitative assessment made by its internal clients to assess best selection.

(h) Where applicable, an explanation of how the investment firm has used output of a consolidated tape provider established under Article 65 of Directive 2014/65/EU For the year 2020, the Bank used no data from consolidated tape providers established under Article 65 of Directive 2014/65/EU.

(c) Interest rates derivatives

(i) Futures and options admitted to trading on a trading venue No trade (ii) Swaps, forwards, and other interest rates derivatives

(d) Credit derivatives

No trade

(e) Currency derivatives

(i) Futures and options admitted to trading on a trading venue No trade

(ii) Swaps, forwards, and other currency derivatives

(a) Relative importance the firm gave to the execution factors of price, costs, speed, likelihood of execution or any other consideration including qualitative factors when assessing the quality of execution

For swaps and forwards, UBP sough primarily to minimize operational risk in order execution.

(b) Close links, conflicts of interests, and common ownerships with respect to any execution venues used to execute orders UBP SA is facing its clients for all swap and forward transactions (no execution venue involved)

(c) Specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received Not relevant as there are no execution venues involved for those transactions

(d) Factors that led to a change in the list of execution venues listed in the firm's execution policy, if such a change occurred There were no changes in the list of brokers used to execute currency derivative transactions in 2020.

(e) How order execution differs according to client categorisation, where the firm treats categories of clients differently and where it may affect the order execution arrangements Order execution does not differ according to client categorization.

(f) Other criteria that were given precedence over immediate price and cost when executing retail client orders and how these other criteria were instrumental in delivering the best possible result in terms of the total consideration to the client UBP sough primarily to minimize operational risk in order execution for swaps and forwards.

(g) An explanation of how the investment firm has used any data or tools relating to the quality of execution, including any data published under Commission Delegated Regulation EU

For the year 2020, the Bank relied on the qualitative assessment made by its internal clients to assess best selection.

(h) Where applicable, an explanation of how the investment firm has used output of a consolidated tape provider established under Article 65 of Directive 2014/65/EU For the year 2020, the Bank used no data from consolidated tape providers established under Article 65 of Directive 2014/65/EU.

(f) Structured finance instruments

No trade

(g) Equity derivatives

(a) Relative importance the firm gave to the execution factors of price, costs, speed, likelihood of execution or any other consideration including qualitative factors when assessing the

UBP SA decided to allocate all its transactions on equity derivatives to UBS as of December 2018, based on the quality of its operational set-up. The first criteria to assess best execution remains price as UBS gives UBP SA an access to all the quotes available on the market and the bank selects the best price available.

(b) Close links, conflicts of interests, and common ownerships with respect to any execution venues used to execute orders UBP SA has no closed links, conflict of interests or common ownerships with respect to any execution venues used to execute equity derivatives.

(c) Specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received

There are no specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received for the trading of equity derivatives.

(d) Factors that led to a change in the list of execution venues listed in the firm's execution policy, if such a change occurred

There were no changes in the list of execution venues used for equity derivative transactions in 2020

(e) How order execution differs according to client categorisation, where the firm treats categories of clients differently and where it may affect the order execution arrangements UBP Europe focuses on the price of execution for all of his clients, including retail clients.

(f) Other criteria that were given precedence over immediate price and cost when executing retail client orders and how these other criteria were instrumental in delivering the best possible result in terms of the total consideration to the client

Price availability and operational risk were the two factors used to select executing brokers enabling UBP SA to deal in equity derivatives.

(g) An explanation of how the investment firm has used any data or tools relating to the quality of execution, including any data published under Commission Delegated Regulation EU

For the year 2020, the Bank relied on the qualitative assessment made by its internal clients to assess best selection.
(h) Where applicable, an explanation of how the investment firm has used output of a consolidated tape provider established under Article 65 of Directive 2014/65/EU

For the year 2020, the Bank used no data from consolidated tape providers established under Article 65 of Directive 2014/65/EU.

(h) Securitized derivatives	No trade
(i) Commodities derivatives and emission allowances Derivatives	No trade
(j) Contracts for difference	No trade
(k) Exchange traded products (Exchange traded funds, exchange t	traded notes and exchange traded commodities) No trade
(I) Emission allowances	No trade
(m) Other instruments	No trade